Cannabis Use Is Associated With an Earlier Onset of Psychosis

In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Large et al\(^5\) identified 83 studies with 131 samples that reported age at onset of psychotic illness in substance users (pooled N = 8,167) and nonusers (pooled N = 14,352). In all studies, the degree of substance use was considered clinically significant.

The findings of the meta-analysis are presented in Table 1. In summary, there was no statistical evidence that suggested publication bias. Using a random effects model, the authors\(^5\) found that onset of psychosis was 2.0 years earlier in broadly defined substance users and 2.7 years earlier in those who used cannabis, but not significantly earlier in those who used alcohol. Heterogeneity in the age-at-onset effect size principally arose from differences in the proportion of cannabis users between samples; when the proportion of cannabis users in a sample was larger, mean age at onset of psychosis was earlier. This meta-analysis could not examine the temporal relationship between onset of substance use and onset of psychosis. Nevertheless, the findings suggest that there is something specific about cannabis use that results in its association with an earlier onset of psychotic illness.

Helle et al\(^6\) also found that cannabis but no other substance use significantly predicted (earlier) age at onset of schizophrenia spectrum disorder. Other studies, published after the meta-analysis of Large et al,\(^5\) have also identified an earlier age at onset of psychosis in cannabis users.\(^7\)–\(^10\) Some data suggest that an earlier age of initiation of cannabis use may predict earlier age at onset of psychosis.\(^11\),\(^12\)

Cannabis Use Is Associated With a Dose-Dependent Increase in the Risk of Psychosis

Cannabis has long been associated with an increased risk of psychosis.\(^2\) Is the risk dose-dependent? Many cross-sectional and longitudinal (observational) studies have suggested so. Marconi et al\(^13\) therefore examined the subject in a systematic review and meta-analysis.

These authors\(^13\) identified 10 studies (pooled N = 66,816) that quantified cannabis intake before the onset of psychotic symptomatology...
that was defined using validated clinical measures. There were 6 prospective studies, 3 cross-sectional studies, and 1 case-control study conducted in 7 developed countries in 3 continents. Most of the studies quantified cannabis use in terms of lifetime frequency of use or use at baseline. Psychosis was mostly commonly defined in terms of development of specified symptoms or clinical diagnosis. The risk of psychosis was examined in random effects models (heterogeneity was high) with level of exposure to cannabis estimated as a continuous variable; cannabis never-users comprised the reference category.

Important findings from the study are presented in Table 2. In summary, cannabis use was associated with a dose-dependent increase in the risk of psychosis; the risk was doubled in the average user and quadrupled in the heaviest users. The finding was consistent, showing little variation across type of study design (cross-sectional or longitudinal) and method of identification of psychosis (broad or narrow).

### Cannabis Use Increases Transition to Psychosis in High-Risk Patients

What is the effect of cannabis use in persons at high risk of psychosis? This question was examined by Kraan et al. in a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. These authors identified 7 relevant studies (pooled N = 1,171) with a follow-up duration of 1–4 years. Random effects meta-analysis was performed. Important findings from the meta-analysis are presented in Table 3. In summary, current cannabis abuse or dependence, but not other levels of current use or past use, were associated with increased risk of transition into psychosis in subjects at ultrahigh risk of psychosis. Of note, this meta-analysis could not control for the influence of other risk factors for transition into psychosis.

### Cannabis Use Is Highly Prevalent in First-Episode Psychosis

If cannabis use is an important variable in patients with psychosis, it would be useful to know how common it is in clinicians.

---

**Clinical Points**

- Persons who develop psychosis experience earlier onset of illness (by 2–3 years) if they are cannabis users.
- Higher levels of cannabis use are associated with a higher risk of development of psychosis.
- Current cannabis abuse or dependence is associated with an increased risk of transition into psychosis in persons at ultrahigh risk of psychosis. Studies in first-episode psychosis suggest that about a third of patients are cannabis users.
- Cannabis use continues in a significant proportion of patients even after the onset of psychosis. Discontinuation of cannabis use after onset of psychosis brings down the risk of relapse (after antipsychotic treatment) to the level of that in cannabis nonusers.

---

**Table 1. Cannabis Use and Age at Onset of Psychosis**

| 1. Onset of psychosis was 2.70 years earlier in cannabis users as compared with nonusers (SMD = 0.41; 95% CI, 0.30–0.53; 41 samples); this figure was 2.00 years in persons with broadly defined substance use (SMD = 0.32; 95% CI, 0.23–0.41; 68 samples). |
| 2. Onset of psychosis was nonsignificantly earlier (by 0.3 years) in alcohol users relative to nonusers (SMD = 0.28; 95% CI, −0.12 to 0.20; 22 samples). |
| 3. In meta-regression analysis, higher proportion of cannabis users in a sample and higher proportion of males (but no other sample or methodology variable) each significantly predicted earlier age at onset of psychosis. |
| 4. The relationship between substance use and earlier age at onset of psychosis was present even when samples with upper age limit > 45 years were studied, suggesting that the findings were not due to an association between youth and substance use. |
| 5. In multivariate meta-regression analysis, proportion of cannabis users and sample age range (but not proportion of males) independently predicted heterogeneity in effect size. |
| 6. Variables that did not significantly predict between-study heterogeneity in the age-at-onset effect size included the proportion of patients with schizophrenia and other nonaffective psychoses, whether diagnoses were based on systematic measures, whether the study was conducted in first-episode patients, whether the control groups might have included users of other substances, whether psychosis onset was defined by the onset of symptoms or the onset of treatment, and the year of publication of the study. |

**Table 2. Cannabis Use and a Dose-Dependent Increase in the Risk of Psychosis**

| 1. Each of 10 identified studies found that cannabis use was associated with a significant, dose-dependent increase in the risk of psychosis. |
| 2. A median level of cannabis use was associated with nearly doubled odds of psychosis (OR = 1.97; 95% CI, 1.68–2.31); use of cannabis in the top 20% was associated with more than trebled odds (OR = 3.40; 95% CI, 2.55–4.54); and the highest level of cannabis use was associated with nearly quadrupled odds (OR = 3.90; 95% CI, 2.84–5.34). |
| 3. The odds of psychosis in the most severe cannabis users were 3.83 (95% CI, 2.34–6.29) in the 6 prospective cohort studies and 3.99 (95% CI, 2.50–6.37) in the 4 cross-sectional studies. |
| 4. The odds of psychosis in the most severe cannabis users were 3.59 (95% CI, 2.43–5.32) in the 8 studies in which development of psychotic symptom(s) was set as the outcome measure and 5.07 (95% CI, 3.62–7.09) in the 2 studies in which a diagnosis of psychosis was set as the outcome measure. |
| 5. In meta-regression analysis, year of study did not predict the magnitude of risk, suggesting that time trends (a possible proxy for the strength of street cannabis) did not explain heterogeneity. There was no indication of publication bias. |

**Table 3. Impact of Cannabis Use in Persons at Ultrahigh Risk of Psychosis**

| 1. Relative to cannabis nonusers, lifetime cannabis use was not associated with an increased risk of transition to psychosis (OR = 1.14; 95% CI, 0.86–1.52; 7 studies). Heterogeneity was low, and there was evidence of small publication bias. |
| 2. Subjects diagnosed with current cannabis abuse/dependence were at increased risk of transition into psychosis (OR = 1.75; 95% CI, 1.14–2.71; 5 studies). The comparison group for this meta-analysis was formed from subjects who were not diagnosed with current abuse/dependence. Thus, the control group included never-users, current (nonproblematic) users, and past users. In this meta-analysis, heterogeneity was low and there was no evidence of publication bias. |

**Abbreviations:** CI = confidence interval, OR = odds ratio.
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*(Based on the meta-analysis of Large et al.)

*(Based on the meta-analysis of Kraan et al.)*
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The findings emphasize the importance of targeting cannabis use (with abstinence as the goal) in patients with psychosis. It may be noted, however, that effect sizes in this meta-analysis were small.

### Explaining the Association Between Cannabis Use and the Risk of Psychosis

Cannabis use may be associated with psychosis as a causal factor, as a trigger, as an exacerbating variable, as a consequence of psychotic illness, or as combinations of these explanations. The data from the meta-analyses and other studies described in previous sections are epidemiologic in nature and therefore cannot establish a preference for any explanation. However, there is strong randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled evidence from laboratory studies that shows that natural and synthetic cannabinoid agonists reliably produce psychosis-like positive, negative, cognitive, and psychophysiolologic symptoms in healthy humans and exacerbate psychotic symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that cannabis plays some etiologic role in at least some persons who use the substance and who subsequently develop psychosis. Interestingly, possible neurotransmitter mechanisms, neural mechanisms, and genetic vulnerabilities have all been described for cannabis-related psychosis, as have possible distinctive psychopathologic characteristics and possible different manifestations of psychosis resulting from cannabis exposure.

### Public Health Implications

A reasonable implication of the findings presented in previous sections is that if cannabis use is curtailed in the population, the onset of nonaffective psychoses such as schizophrenia might be delayed in some persons, and the course and outcome of the illness could become more favorable. Even if psychosis is inevitable, a later onset of illness would allow extra years for neurodevelopment in youth, higher education, and more time for social and vocational adjustment. These are worthwhile gains. Thus, cannabis use is a modifiable risk factor in the primary and secondary prevention of psychosis; however, the population attributable risk associated with cannabis exposure is presently uncertain.

### General Notes About the Studies Described in This Article

The potency of cannabis preparations could not be examined in any of the meta-analyses described in this article. This is an important consideration because many studies have associated high potency cannabis use with greater risk or earlier occurrence of psychosis. However, in some of the meta-analyses, year of study did not predict...
outcomes; here, year of study may be a proxy for potency because the potency of street cannabis has been suggested to have increased over time.

Many potentially important confounds could not be examined in the various meta-analyses; these include, for example, the effects of socioeconomic status and the use of tobacco. Finally, none of the studies discussed in this article could establish whether there is a safe level of cannabis use. This remains an unknown in the medical literature.

**Parting Note**
Advocates of medical marijuana who argue that association with adverse outcomes does not prove cause might do well to consider that, if cannabis were to be suggested as a prescription drug by the pharmaceutical industry, the wealth of evidence of adverse outcomes associated with it would send social activists, human rights advocates, lawyers, and the mass media into a frenzy of outrage. Legislating marijuana into legitimacy does not make it safe.
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