Finite-Time Effects and Ultraweak Ergodicity Breaking in Superdiffusive Dynamics
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We study the ergodic properties of superdiffusive, spatiotemporally coupled Lévy walk processes. For trajectories of finite duration, we reveal a distinct scatter of the scaling exponents of the time averaged mean squared displacement $\bar{\delta x^2}$ around the ensemble value $3 - \alpha$ ($1 < \alpha < 2$) ranging from ballistic motion to subdiffusion, in strong contrast to the behavior of subdiffusive processes. In addition we find a significant dependence of the average of $\bar{\delta x^2}$ over an ensemble of trajectories as a function of the finite measurement time. This so-called finite-time amplitude depression and the scatter of the scaling exponent is vital in the quantitative evaluation of superdiffusive processes. Comparing the long time average of the second moment with the ensemble mean squared displacement, these only differ by a constant factor, an ultraweak ergodicity breaking.
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Suppose you are recording the trajectories of individual blue sharks in the ocean over time. Calculating the time averaged mean squared displacement (MSD) $\bar{\delta x^2}$ separately for each shark you find that some animals appear to move almost ballistically while others appear to move much slower. Does this necessarily indicate that the animals follow different generic motion patterns? Surprisingly, the same disparity between individual trajectories under precisely identical physical conditions will be observed for the motion of passive tracer particles in weakly chaotic transport in a rotating annulus. As we show here for the celebrated Lévy walk (LW) model of superdiffusion identified as the stochastic process behind the motion of the sharks [1] and the tracers in the annulus [2], the intrinsic nonergodicity in trajectories of finite length indeed gives rise to a wide distribution of apparent scaling exponents. These exponents may even range to subdiffusive values, although the motion is produced from identical distributions. This surprising finding is accompanied by a significant reduction of the amplitude of $\bar{\delta x^2}$ at finite measurement times and strongly contrasts the nonergodicity observed in subdiffusive motion.

Blue sharks are indeed just one example of marine predators followed over large distances that show scaling laws in their foraging behavior consistent with LW dynamics [1], similar to findings from other tracking studies of animals or humans [3–5]. LWs are widely applied, inter alia to describe intermittent chaotic systems [6–8], diffusion in Josephson junctions [9], negative Hall resistance [10], diffusion of atoms in optical lattices [11] and of light in disordered media [12], blinking statistics of quantum dots [13], movement strategies in mussels [14], or even T-cell motility in the brain [15]. Many of these systems are routinely analyzed on the single trajectory level.

Despite this ubiquity of LWs their ergodic behavior has not been studied in detail. However the question of whether a system is ergodic becomes relevant when instead of the conventional MSD $\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \int x^2 P(x, t) dx$ defined as ensemble average over the probability density $P(x, t)$ we use time averages over single trajectories. For time series $x(t)$ of duration $T$ the time averaged MSD is defined via

$$\bar{\delta x^2}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T - \tau} \int_0^{T-\tau} [x(t + \tau) - x(t)]^2 dt,$$

(1)

$\tau$ denoting the lag time. The behavior of $\bar{\delta x^2}$ has been studied in detail for the case of subdiffusion, $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \approx t^\gamma$ with $0 < \gamma < 1$, revealing distinct discrepancies between ensemble and time averaged MSD for scale-free waiting time processes [16–18]. This so-called weak ergodicity breaking (WEB) means that $\langle x^2(\tau) \rangle \neq \bar{\delta x^2}(\tau)$ even for long $T$ [16,17], while other subdiffusive processes such as fractional Brownian motion are ergodic in the sense that $\langle x^2(\tau) \rangle = \bar{\delta x^2}(\tau)$ for sufficiently long $T$ [19,20]. Weak ergodicity breaking has indeed been observed in experiments, for instance, for the motion of protein channels in the walls of living human kidney cells [21] and of lipid granules in living yeast cells [22].

To study the ergodic properties of LWs we recall their definition within continuous time random walk (CTRW) theory [23]. A CTRW is based on the joint distribution $P(x, t)$. For each jump we draw from $P(x, t)$ a random waiting time $t$ and jump length $x$ [24–26]. To describe superdiffusive processes $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \approx t^\gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$, LWs are endowed with a spatiotemporal coupling for which we choose the simplest form $\Psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2\nu t}$ [25]. Confined by an expanding horizon at positions $\pm vt$ from the origin, LWs perform statistically independent free paths with constant velocity $|v|$, whose durations...
are distributed according to the power law \( \psi(t) = \int \Psi(x, t)dx \sim t^{-(1+\alpha)} \). For \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) the resulting motion is ballistic, \( \gamma = 2 \), for \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \) we observe sub-ballistic superdiffusion with \( \gamma = 3 - \alpha \), while for \( \alpha > 2 \) the motion is normal diffusive, \( \gamma = 1 \) [6,7]. The mean sojourn time \( \langle t \rangle = \int_0^\infty t \psi(t)dt \) is infinite for \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and finite otherwise. In contrast to Lévy flights with their diverging variance [27], LWs are physical models for particles with a maximum propagation speed. Apart from the description in terms of the above continuous time random walk scheme with \( \Psi(x, t) \), LWs can be described as a renewal process [9], in terms of a master equation [28], a fractional transport equation [29], or a Langevin approach based on subordination [30].

Here we focus on the behavior of time averages and ergodic properties of LWs in the relevant superdiffusive range \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \). From analytical results and extensive numerical simulations we highlight the particular role of the finiteness of trajectories when calculating the time averages. Namely, we show that the scaling exponents of \( \delta x^2 \) apparently become random quantities and that the amplitude of the time averages is a function of the measurement time \( T \). Moreover, we report an "ultraweak" ergodicity breaking (UWEB) of superdiffusive LWs. These effects are important to interpret time averages of LWs.

A full analytical solution for the time-averaged MSD \( \delta x^2 \) is obtained from the renewal framework [9]. The starting point is the velocity autocorrelation function \( C_v(t) = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int |t' - t|^{-1} \int_{t'}^{t} \nu(t'')\nu(t'' + t)d\tau'' \), where the time average is taken over a trajectory of infinite length. In the velocity model for LWs employed here the velocity fluctuates between \( +\nu \) and \( -\nu \) with equal probability, meaning that only single events contribute to \( C_v(t) \), which in turn is the result of an averaging of event durations along a trajectory. The problem can be rephrased in terms of the probability that a walker is in an ongoing event of duration \( T \) between 0 and \( t \) given that we pick an arbitrary origin on the time axis. To obtain \( C_v \) we simply average over all such possible durations. Once \( C_v \) is known, \( \delta x^2 \) is readily obtained from the Green-Kubo formula \( \delta x^2(\tau) = 2 \int_0^\infty (\tau - t)C_v(t)dt \) [31]. For infinite trajectories, we obtain the result

\[
\delta x^2(\tau) = 2 \left( \frac{(1 + \tau)^{3-\alpha} - 1}{(3 - \alpha)(2 - \alpha)} - \frac{\tau}{2 - \alpha} \right),
\]

(2)

where we have set \( |\nu| = 1 \). As for \( 1 < \alpha < 2 \) the mean waiting time \( \langle t \rangle \) is finite, individual trajectories at sufficiently long (infinite) times become self-averaging, such that there will be no difference between \( \delta x^2(\tau) \) obtained from different trajectories and the trajectory-to-trajectory averaged quantity \( \langle \delta x^2(\tau) \rangle \). In other words, the actual series of events is irrelevant in the case of infinite trajectories. In reality one never deals with infinite trajectories, albeit they might become extremely long. Once a trajectory is finite, irrespective of its actual length, there always exists a nonzero probability that the walker will be "locked" in a single motion event ("ultralarge LW modes") persisting along a great fraction of or even during the entire trajectory. This produces (almost) purely ballistic motion. Other trajectories may show many quick transitions between velocity modes and thus appears almost trapped. The MSDs \( \delta x^2(\tau) \) of individual trajectories will therefore not coincide but show a scatter of amplitudes, as shown below. On average, there is a residual probability in favor of the locked modes, producing the well-known \( \langle \delta x^2(\tau) \rangle \approx t^{3-\alpha} \) scaling of LWs.

In our simulations we use the concrete form \( \psi(t) = \alpha(1 + t)^{-(1+\alpha)} \) for the waiting time distribution. From this asymptotic power law we generate \( M = 10^4 \) time series of particle coordinates \( x_j(t) \), where \( j \) labels different trajectories. We calculate the ensemble averaged MSD \( \delta x^2(\tau) = M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M x_j(\tau)^2 \) and the time averaged MSD through Eq. (1). Figure 1 shows typical results for \( \delta x^2 \) for 400 different trajectories of duration \( T = 10^8 \) time steps \( \Delta \) for \( \alpha = 1.2 \) and \( \alpha = 1.5 \). Remarkably, while \( \delta x^2 \) for all trajectories coincides and shows superdiffusive scaling at shorter lag times, at longer \( \tau \), \( \delta x^2 \) displays a wide spread of slopes ranging from ballistic motion to subdiffusion (\( \gamma < 1 \)). At the same time the ensemble-averaged MSD predicts a unique long-time scaling of the form \( \langle \delta x^2(\tau) \rangle \approx t^{3-\alpha} \), confirmed by our simulations (not shown here). Thus ergodicity, the equivalence of long time and ensemble average is broken. Moreover, self-averaging does not take place. In contrast to subdiffusive CTRW with diverging mean waiting time, where the scaling is identical for all trajectories but the generalized diffusion coefficient becomes a random variable [16–18], here we observe that the scaling exponent of individual trajectories appears random. We note that this effect is not due to bad statistics at larger \( \tau \) as \( \tau \ll T \) is fulfilled for all \( \tau \) shown in Fig. 1. Performing an average over all trajectories, \( \langle \delta x^2 \rangle \), the full black lines in Fig. 1, the result seems to follow the scaling predicted by Eq. (2). However, this agreement is only apparent, see below.

![FIG. 1 (color online). Time-averaged MSD \( \delta x^2 \) obtained from 400 trajectories for \( \alpha = 1.2 \) (left) and \( \alpha = 1.5 \) (right) of length \( T = 10^8 \Delta \). Full red (gray) lines depict different scaling behaviors as indicated, the full black lines are averages over all trajectories, \( \langle \delta x^2 \rangle \).](image-url)
We first quantify the deviations of $\delta x^2$ of different trajectories around the trajectory-ensemble average $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle$, 
\[ P(\delta | \tau) = \left\langle \delta \left( \frac{\delta x^2(\tau)}{\langle \delta x^2(\tau) \rangle} - \delta \right) \right\rangle. \tag{3} \]

The results for $\alpha = 1.2$ and $\alpha = 1.5$ are shown in Fig. 2 (left). In the case of an infinite trajectory we would find a sharp peak at $\delta = 1$, which is approximately observed for the shortest $\tau$. In contrast, for finite-time trajectories $P(\delta | \tau)$ apparently relaxes from an almost ergodic behavior at shorter lag times $\tau$ towards a skewed limiting distribution with a maximum well below the ergodic value $\delta = 1$. Therefore the average value appears to be dominated by one or few very long waiting time events locked in a given velocity mode, and the self-averaging is not fulfilled and only restored for infinitely long trajectories. In addition we measure the time-long scaling in individual trajectories by least-squares fit of the last decade of $\delta x^2$ to the power law $t^{\alpha_{app}}$, obtaining the scatter distribution of the apparent scaling exponent $\alpha_{app}$. The resulting distributions $P(\alpha_{app})$ for $\alpha = 1.2$ and $\alpha = 1.5$ are shown in Fig. 2 (right). We see that the maximum of $P(\alpha_{app})$ is well below the infinite-time average $\alpha_{app} = \alpha$. This demonstrates that the time average of a superdiffusive dynamical process can in fact display subdiffusive behavior on the level of single trajectories of finite duration. Again, we see that finite time averages such as $\delta x^2$ are obviously dominated by either extremely long motion events pushing $\alpha_{app}$ to values closer to $\alpha_{app} = 2$, or by strong oscillations between velocity modes inducing localization effects and values $\alpha_{app} < 1$. These observations will be crucial for the correct interpretation of single trajectory measurements of superdiffusive processes.

![FIG. 2 (color online). Left: Scatter distribution $P(\delta)$ of the time-averaged MSD $\delta x^2$ versus $\delta = \delta x^2/\langle \delta x^2 \rangle$ for $\alpha = 1.2$ (black) and $\alpha = 1.5$ (red or gray). Different values of $\tau$ are indicated in terms of the time unit $\Delta$. Right: Scatter distribution $P(\alpha_{app})$ of the apparent scaling exponent $\alpha_{app}$ obtained by the least-squares fit of $\delta x^2 \sim t^{\alpha_{app}}$ to the last decade of the time series in Fig. 1. All data are for trajectories of length $10^3 \Delta$.](image)

Having established that there is no unique scaling of $\delta x^2$ along finite-time single trajectories one might wonder whether and how the finiteness of single trajectories affects the corresponding average over an ensemble of trajectories. This problem can be treated exactly with the renewal approach. Once an arbitrary origin is specified on the time axis the probability that the walker is in a motion event of duration $\ddot{\theta}$ at time 0 is $p_0(\ddot{\theta})d\ddot{\theta} = \ddot{\theta} \psi(\ddot{\theta})d\ddot{\theta}/\ddot{\theta}$, where $\ddot{\theta}$ is the average time span of $\ddot{\theta}$ along a finite-time trajectory of duration $T$ and ensures the correct normalization, $\ddot{\theta} = \int_0^T \ddot{\theta} \psi(\ddot{\theta})d\ddot{\theta} = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1}(1 - (1 + \alpha T)(1 + T)^{-\alpha})$. The probability that the event persists until $t$ is $p_p(t|\ddot{\theta}) = (\ddot{\theta} - t)/\ddot{\theta}$, such that the probability that the walker is in a motion event of duration $\ddot{\theta}$ between 0 and $t$ is $p_0(\ddot{\theta})p_p(t|\ddot{\theta})d\ddot{\theta}$. The velocity autocorrelation function $C_v(t)$ is then obtained by averaging over all possible durations up to $T$, i.e.,
\[ C_v(t) = (1 + t)^{1-\alpha} + (1 + T)^{1-\alpha}[(\alpha - 1)t - (1 + \alpha T)] \]
\[ \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1 + \alpha T}{1 + T} \] for $t < T$, such that for finite-time trajectories we find
\[ \langle \delta x^2 \rangle_f = 2 \frac{(1 + \ddot{\theta})^{1-\alpha} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{2} - \frac{(\alpha - 1)\ddot{\theta}^3}{6(1+\ddot{\theta})^2} - \frac{(1 + \ddot{\theta})^{1-\alpha}}{2(1+\ddot{\theta})^2}}{(\alpha - 1)\ddot{\theta}}. \tag{5} \]

For long $T$ the time averaged MSD has the form
\[ \langle \delta x^2 \rangle_f = \delta x^2 + T^{3-\alpha} \left[ \frac{\alpha - 1}{3} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^3 - \alpha \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{6} \]

Simulation results for $\delta x^2$ are shown in Fig. 3, demonstrating good agreement with the result (5). Indeed we find that

![FIG. 3 (color online). $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle$ (dashed red or grey line) and $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle_f$ (full lines) with $T = 10^3 \Delta$ (black symbols) and $T = 10^4 \Delta$ (blue or gray symbols). The right panels show the same plots on a logarithmic scale.](image)
importance of correction terms for given values of $\alpha$ and $T$ it is instructive to consider the ratio of $\Delta x^2$ for finite-time and infinite-time trajectories,

$$R = \frac{\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle_f}{\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle_i}$$

as a function of the relative time lag $\varphi = \tau/T$. The results for various cases are shown in Fig. 4 (left). Thus, when $\alpha = 1.2$ for instance, $R(\varphi)$ decreases to 0.2 as $\varphi$ approaches 1. This finite-time depression is important in relating the amplitude of the measured time averaged MSD to the anomalous diffusion coefficient of the process. In the Brownian limit $\alpha = 2$, $R(\varphi)$ is independent of the finite measurement time $T$ and equals 1.

Finally we investigate the nature of the ergodicity breaking and, in particular, the role of the finiteness of trajectories. As already noted by Zumofen and Klafter [7] the time-averaged MSD differs from the corresponding ensemble average; thus, ergodicity is broken. We define the ergodicity-breaking parameter $\mathcal{E}B(\tau) = \langle \Delta x^2 \rangle / \langle \Delta x^2(\tau) \rangle$ as the ratio of time versus ensemble averaged MSD [32].

For our choice of $\psi(x, t)$ the ensemble averaged MSD asymptotically is $\langle \Delta x^2(\tau) \rangle \sim 2(\alpha - 1)\tau^{3-\alpha}/[(3 - \alpha)\times (2 - \alpha)]$ for $1 < \alpha < 2$ as $\tau \to \infty$ [7]. Thus $\mathcal{E}B(\tau) = 1/(\alpha - 1)$ as $\tau \to \infty$, and time and ensemble averages differ only in terms of a constant. This ultraweak ergodicity breaking contrasts with the stronger ergodic violation of subdiffusive processes, see below. According to Eq. (5) we expect that the finiteness of trajectories will also affect $\mathcal{E}B(\tau)$. Interestingly $\mathcal{E}B(\tau)$ appears to be almost independent of $\tau$, as shown in Fig. 4(b), but the value deviates significantly from $1/(\alpha - 1)$. In fact, this is not surprising if considering Fig. 3: the scaling of finite-time averages on the logarithmic scale agrees rather well with the prediction for infinite trajectories, suggesting that the correction terms effectively cause a rescaling of the generalized time-averaged diffusion coefficient.

We investigated the ultraweakly ergodic behavior of superdiffusive LWs, finding a pronounced scatter of apparent scaling exponents of the time-averaged MSD for finite-time trajectories. These apparent scaling exponents range from ballistic motion (sticking to one velocity mode) down to subdiffusive values (localization due to erratic hopping between different velocity modes). Moreover, averaged over many individual trajectories, the time-averaged MSD is pronouncedly smaller than for very long trajectories. We quantify these effects in terms of an ergodicity breaking parameter. While it is tempting to associate the nonergodic behavior of LWs at finite times with the finite-time exploration of the full shape of the LW propagator between the two counterpropagating $\delta$ peaks, we note that time-averaged MSDs represent sliding windows along the entire trajectory up to the measurement time. The observed effect is therefore due to waiting time events and thus insufficient self-averaging for finite measurements.

For a subdiffusive CTRW weak ergodicity breaking emerges due to the lack of time scale in the waiting times. Thus even at infinite measurement times, time averages remain random quantities, and the ergodic violation persists. The time-averaged MSD remains different from its ensemble analog even when averaged over infinitely many trajectories. In this sense the ergodicity breaking for subdiffusion is stronger than in LWs. Also for subdiffusion, individual trajectories show deviations of $\Delta x^2(\tau)$ from the linear scaling with $\tau$, including complete stalling in some trajectories. In contrast, violation of ergodicity for LWs is only present for finite trajectories (and thus relevant for experiments), and the average over many trajectories restores the scaling exponent $3 - \alpha$ of the ensemble average. Between both quantities only the prefactor differs, an ultraweak violation.

The present results reveal the importance to take into account the effects of the finiteness of trajectories when interpreting experimental results. Concurrently, they also demonstrate how the measured time series of different lengths reveal more reliable information about the
fundamental underlying dynamical process. The additional information comes from the dependence of time-averaged quantities on the length of the time series. Instead of attempting to measure or generate longer and longer time series to extract reliable time averaged quantities, one could instead use many shorter time series and obtain even more reliable results. Our results may also provide an alternative and more robust method of determining exponents of probability densities of step durations. Namely, since we inevitably expect poor sampling of very long events this might be reflected in the obtained exponent. Using the time-averaged MSD from measurements of different (but known) durations one should in principle be able to determine the exponent more accurately.
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Note added in proof.—Ergodicity violation and its quantitative consequences in ballistic LWs ($0 < \alpha < 1$) are analyzed in a recent study [33].
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Note the difference to the definition of the ergodicity breaking parameter $EB$ introduced previously [16,17].

[32] Note the difference to the definition of the ergodicity breaking parameter $EB$ introduced previously [16,17].