

*now at: the Department of Environmental Science, University of Eastern Finland, PL 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

Received: 26 March 2011 – Accepted: 13 April 2011 – Published: 4 May 2011

Correspondence to: M. Dorodnikov (maxim.dorodnikov@uef.fi)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

**Contribution of
recent plant
photosynthates to
methanogenesis**

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

Contribution of recent photosynthates to methanogenesis and plant-mediated methane (CH₄) transport were studied on two dominating vascular plant species – *Eriophorum vaginatum* and *Scheuchzeria palustris* – at three microform types (hummocks, lawns and hollows) of a boreal natural minerogenic, oligotrophic fen in Eastern Finland. Measurements of total CH₄ flux, isolation of shoots from entire peat and ¹⁴C-pulse labeling of mesocosms under controlled conditions allowed estimation of plant-mediated CH₄ flux and contribution of recent (¹⁴C) photosynthates to total CH₄. The obtained results showed (i) CH₄ flux increases in the order *E. hummocks* ≤ *E. lawns* < *S. hollows* corresponding to the increasing water table level of the microforms as derived from in situ measurements. (ii) Plant-mediated CH₄ flux accounted for 38, 31 and 51 % of total CH₄ at *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns* and *S. hollows*, respectively. (iii) Contribution of recent photosynthates to methanogenesis accounted for 0.03 % for *E. hummocks*, 0.06 % for *E. lawns* and 0.13 % for *S. hollows* of assimilated ¹⁴C. Thus, *S. palustris* microsites are characterized by a higher efficiency for transporting CH₄ from the peat column to the atmosphere when compared to *E. vaginatum* of drier lawns and hummocks. Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis was not depended on the amount of plant biomass: smaller *S. palustris* had higher ¹⁴CH₄ as compared to larger *E. vaginatum*. Therefore, for the assessment of CH₄ production and emission over meso- and macroscales as well as for the implication and development of C modeling of CH₄ fluxes, it is necessary to account for plant species-specific processes including CH₄ production, consumption and transportation and the attribution of those species to topographic microforms.

1 Introduction

Boreal peatlands are large global repositories of organic matter (OM), containing about 15 % of the total terrestrial organic carbon (C) (Turunen et al., 2002). Their plant

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



communities apparently have an important role in ecosystem C dynamics as, first of all, the supplier of organic C. Due to permanently waterlogged anoxic conditions in peatland ecosystems both the recent plant-derived deposits (new C) and previously accumulated OM (old C) in the catotelm decompose resulting in emission of methane (CH₄) to the atmosphere. In turn, CH₄ is an effective greenhouse gas, which has 23 folds higher global warming potential (based on a 100 yr. period) compared to CO₂ (IPCC, 2001). Understanding the processes controlling the CH₄ emission in boreal peatlands is thus critical for estimating current and future global C budgets.

Temperature and water table fluctuations have been identified to be important constraints on the CH₄ emission (reviewed in Lai, 2009), but also the microtopography of peatlands with the respective plant communities could be an effective predictor of the CH₄ fluxes. The surface of a (boreal) peatland can be differentiated into microscale subunits – microforms (e.g. hummocks, lawns, hollows) according to their hydrological characteristics (water table level) and the main vegetation communities (Becker et al., 2008). Regarding to this, vascular plants have been recognized to control the CH₄ flux from wetlands to a large extent because they affect production, consumption and transport of CH₄ (Joabsson and Christensen, 2001; Lai, 2009). Many studies found a tight correlation of net ecosystem productivity (NEP) and CH₄ emission (Whiting and Chanton, 1993; Dacey et al., 1994; Greenup et al., 2000) and experiments using radiocarbon (Chanton et al., 1995) or ¹⁴C-label (King et al., 2002; Christensen et al., 2003; Ström et al., 2005) showed the importance of recent plant photosynthates for CH₄ production. Furthermore, the role of vegetation in CH₄ dynamics might increase in the future due to climate change. Thus, the predicted warming (ACIA, 2005; IPCC, 2007) and elevation of atmospheric CO₂ (IPCC, 2007), which is the substrate for photosynthesis, could enhance the NEP hereby affecting the processes of CH₄ turnover. In light of the importance of the issue, some studies investigated the contribution of recent photosynthates to the CH₄ emission for rice paddies (Minoda et al., 1996; Danenberg and Conrad, 1999), peatlands of the mid latitude climate region (Christensen et al., 2003) and tundra (King and Reeburgh, 2002; King et al., 2002). However, there

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

is a wide range and variability of estimations of label incorporation into CH₄ during methanogenesis. Thus, for the rice plants the contribution of photosynthates to emitted CH₄ ranged between 3 and 52 % of the total CH₄ flux, depending on the time of the season and treatment (Minoda et al., 1996; Dannenberg and Conrad, 1999). King and Reeburgh (2002) and King et al. (2002), showed that in mesocosms of moist tussock and wet sedge tundra, dominated by *Carex chordorrhiza* and *Eriophorum vaginatum*, the percentage of photosynthesized C contributing to emitted CH₄ covered a range of 0.05 to 5 % of the plant productivity taken up as ¹⁴C during labeling. Christensen et al. (2003) reported 0.5 % of the ¹⁴C-label was emitted as CH₄ during 4-months experiment with monoliths of *Eriophorum angustifolium*. The reported first detection of a label in CH₄ occurred within 2–24 h after the labeling (Magonigal et al., 1999; King and Reeburgh, 2002; King et al., 2002; Christensen et al., 2003), which corresponds to the release of C from recent photosynthates in the rhizosphere of crop plants (Kuzyakov et al., 2003) and to ¹⁴CO₂ efflux from soil (Kuzyakov and Gavrichkova, 2010). In the current study we attempted to narrow the existing variety of results and study the mechanisms underlying the fate of recent plant photosynthates regarding CH₄ turnover by conducting a ¹⁴C-pulse labeling experiment of intact plant mesocosms of two common boreal peatland species – *Eriophorum vaginatum* and *Scheuchzeria palustris*. The latter one, to our knowledge, has never been investigated in such kind of experiments so far. Furthermore, the abovementioned studies have not considered different location of the species in peatlands' microrelief, e.g. the hummocks, lawns and hollows. Such a combination between plant species and their attribution to microforms distinguishing by water table level, hence by the portion of roots located under anoxic conditions, may be crucial for their contribution to CH₄ flux. Therefore, in our experiment we consider plant species and microforms as coupled ecological units, which are relevant to the natural environment of boreal peatlands.

Besides providing a source of fresh C for methanogenesis (Hornibrook et al., 1997; King et al., 2002; Ström et al., 2005), vascular plants act as a conduit of CH₄ from the anoxic zone to the atmosphere, bypassing oxidation in the aerobic zone (reviewed in

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Contribution of
recent plant
photosynthates to
methanogenesis**

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Lai, 2009). *Vice versa*, root ventilation through plant aerenchyma may cause leakage of oxygen into the rhizosphere and lead to inhibition of methanogenesis and oxidation of CH_4 to CO_2 (Chanton and Dacey, 1991; Watson et al., 1997; Joabsson and Christensen, 2001). Water table level varies between microforms increasing in the order hummocks-lawns-hollows, thus resulting in different thickness of the oxidation zone and, as one result, different CH_4 fluxes. Studies utilizing chamber techniques to measure CH_4 emissions generally show the lowest CH_4 fluxes on hummocks and the highest on hollows (Dalva et al., 2001; Johansson et al., 2006; Forbrich et al., 2010). However, for the precise estimation of CH_4 emission, especially for large territories (regional C balance), it is necessary to know species-specific characteristics of microforms. Thus, for example, lawns or hummocks with similar water level regime but with different vascular plant species may strongly vary by the CH_4 emission within a peatland and/or between peatlands (Schimel, 1995; Ström et al., 2005).

Generally, species-specific plant-mediated CH_4 transport is estimated indirectly by comparison of CH_4 efflux from the surface with different plant communities (vascular/nonvascular) and/or by clear cutting of plants responsible for CH_4 transport (Shannon et al., 1996; King et al., 1998; Kutzbach et al., 2004). The estimated plant-mediated CH_4 flux substantially varies (33–100% and more than 100% from the total flux, indicating its overestimation) (Schimel, 1995; King et al., 1998; Kutzbach et al., 2004; Koelbener et al., 2010).

Furthermore, most studies were conducted for the high-latitudes arctic and sub-arctic wetlands (Whiting and Chanton, 1992; Schimel et al., 1995; King et al., 1998; Kutzbach et al., 2004) or for rice paddy soils (Jia et al., 2001; Das and Baruah, 2008). There is less information available on (1) the plant-mediated CH_4 transport in the boreal region (Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998; Strack et al., 2006) and (2) direct estimation of plant-mediated CH_4 by separation of plant shoots from the soil/peat (Schimel, 1995; Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998). In the current study we present the results of a laboratory ^{14}C -pulse labelling experiment on three microforms (hummocks, lawns and hollows) from an eastern Finnish boreal mire, characterized by two plant species and three water

table levels. We put forward the following research questions:

- What is the contribution of recent photosynthates to the methanogenesis and how fast does the new C gets incorporated into CH₄?
- What are the rates and amounts of plant-mediated CH₄ flux to the atmosphere in the three microforms?

Based on the research questions we tested two hypotheses: (i) contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis occurs fast and depends strongly on the amount of plant biomass and (ii) the combination of permanently water saturated microsites with the typical plant species (*Scheuchzeria* at hollows) leads to more efficient plant mediated CH₄ transport to the atmosphere as compared to periodically watered or dry microsites (*Eriophorum* at lawns and hummocks).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling of peat cores with plants

Cores of peat with plants (mesocosms) for the experiment were sampled at a natural minerogenic, oligotrophic low-sedge pine fen Salmisuo in Eastern Finland, located in the North Karelian Biosphere Reserve (62°47' N, 30°56' E). The site is described in details elsewhere (Saarnio et al., 1997; Becker et al., 2008; Jager et al., 2009; Forbrich et al., 2010). The surface of the site within the peatland was subdivided into three main microform types according to typical vegetation communities and moisture conditions: dry and elevated hummocks (*Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Pinus sylvestris*, *Andromeda polifolia*, *Sphagnum fuscum*), intermediate lawns (*Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum papillosum*), and wet hollows (*Scheuchzeria palustris*, *Sphagnum balticum*). Two of the vascular plant species dominated at microforms of the experimental site: *Eriophorum vaginatum* at hummocks and lawns and *Scheuchzeria palustris* at hollows. These representative species were chosen for the experiment.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Contribution of
recent plant
photosynthates to
methanogenesis**M. Dorodnikov et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

For the extraction of cores from the peatland's microforms a steel corer with handles (diameter 14 cm, length 25 cm) was used. The sampling was done on 25.07.2009, and 36 cores were extracted (24 of *Eriophorum vaginatum* and 12 of *Scheuchzeria palustris*) from randomly chosen hummocks, lawns and hollows of the experimental site.

5 Apart from the vascular plants, the extracted plant cores consisted of green mosses (*Sphagnum fuscum* and *Sphagnum balticum*) on the top and peat with roots to the depth of 25 cm. Additionally 2 cores of peat with moss but without vascular plants were taken as references. The sampling date corresponded to the peak of vegetation season in this region and the average water table level at the microforms was 12 cm under hummocks, 5 cm under lawns and 0 cm at hollows, respectively. No rain or storm events occurred during the time of sampling. The extracted plant-peat cores were immediately put into polyethylene bags and transferred to the plastic cylinders (diameter 14 cm, length 30 cm) tightly closed from the bottom. A portion of lost water during sampling procedure was compensated with peatland water from the sampling spot to the in situ level at the time of sampling corresponding to the type of the microforms (12-5-0 cm below surface, respectively). All 36 cores were immediately transported to the University of Bayreuth, Germany, where on 28.07.2009 the labeling experiment started. The mesocosms were kept undisturbed (no further destructive manipulations were applied) under controlled conditions with 27/22 °C day/night temperature, a 14 h photoperiod and 800 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity (Kuzyakov et al., 2006) corresponding to growth conditions without light and temperature limitations. Water level in peat cores was maintained according to the type of microforms (see above) by adding deionized water during the period of experiment (18 days). Total plant biomass (shoots, roots) and amount of peat (including moss) in mesocosms increased in the order hollows < lawns < hummocks (Table 1).

2.2 Isolation of plant shoots from entire peat and ^{14}C pulse labeling of mesocosms

To assess the CH_4 plant-mediated transport, we isolated shoots from roots and entire peat in one set of mesocosms. Eighteen randomly chosen plant cores (6 *Eriophorum* cores of hummocks – *E.* hummocks, 6 *Eriophorum* cores of lawns – *E.* lawns and 6 *Scheuchzeria* cores of hollows – *S.* hollows) were put into Plexiglas containers (inner diameter 15 cm, height 30 cm, volume 5300 cm^{-3}) with tightly fixed bottom and a lid on the top. Each lid had 9 holes (diameter 2 cm), through which all plant shoots were passed (Fig. 1). Then all holes with and without shoots were sealed with silicone rubber (NG 3170, Thauer & Co., Germany), thus no direct connection of a peat core with aboveground shoots existed (Fig. 1). However, containers and lids were tightly closed only during flux measurements, when the brims of containers and lids were fixed with clamps. At other times, lids were loose, allowing gas exchange and preventing overpressure between cores surface and lids. The remaining 18 cores with vascular plants and the 2 cores with moss were not isolated and used as references for the peat-shoots isolation experiment.

The ^{14}C pulse labeling was conducted for both isolated and not isolated mesocosms and was done within two subsequent days, as the three different microforms and the limited chamber volume for labeling did not allow labeling all treatments on the same day. The process of labeling was done according to an established procedure of our group (Gavrichkova and Kuzyakov, 2010; Gocke et al., 2010). Sodium carbonate ($\text{Na}_2^{14}\text{CO}_3$, ARC Inc., USA) with ^{14}C activity of 1.48 Mbq was diluted with de-ionized water in a 30 ml vial. Previously, the water was slightly alkalized to prevent loss of ^{14}C activity by exchange with atmospheric CO_2 . Six isolated and 6 not isolated mesocosms of *E.* hummocks and *E.* lawns were put into a large Plexiglas chamber (1.5 m^3), which consisted of 2 halves. The mesocosms were placed on the bottom half and the upper half of the chamber was put on the top into special slot. This slot (or groove) was filled then with water to seal the connection of two halves. Thereafter, the closed

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

chamber was connected to the vial with label by PVC tubings and $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ was introduced inside by adding 3 ml of 5 M H_2SO_4 to the labeling solution. Air with $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ was pumped in a closed cycle by a membrane pump (Type SMG4, Gardner Denver Thomas GmbH, Germany) and mixed by means of a fan to allow for homogenous label distribution. After complete evolution of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ into the chamber atmosphere mesocosms were left for a 2.5 h labeling period. To remove the remaining unassimilated $^{14}\text{CO}_2$, the CO_2 from the chamber was trapped afterwards using 10 mL of 1 M NaOH solution. The same pulse labeling procedure with similar activity (1.48 Mbq) was done the next day (29.07.2009) for 6 cores of *S. hollows*.

2.3 Measurements of gas fluxes and ^{14}C activity

Fluxes of CO_2 and CH_4 were measured from 18 unlabeled cores with vascular plants (9 isolated and 9 not isolated from peat) and 2 cores with moss using standard approach (described below). Because of the one day lag between pulse-labeling of *E. hummock*, *E. lawn* and *S. hollow* mesocosms, the flux measurements were also done separately, keeping the same 1-day interval. To measure gas fluxes, transparent Plexiglas chambers (diameter 15 cm, height 30 cm, volume 5300 cm^{-3}) were installed onto containers with isolated and not isolated mesocosms (Fig. 1). We used the transparent chambers also for CH_4 flux measurements, since we aimed to assess plant-mediated CH_4 flux and tried to avoid inevitable plant response to darkening. Air samples were taken with plastic syringes (20 ml, Braun Omnifix, Melsungen, Germany) through outlets equipped with 3-way stopcocks (Sarstedt, Nürnberg, Germany) each 30 min during 120 min of chamber deployment (4 times). Collected air samples were thereafter measured for CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations on a gas chromatograph (SRI 8610, Torrance, USA; FID with methanizer) at the Limnological Research Station of the University of Bayreuth.

Simultaneously to unlabeled CO_2 and CH_4 , the ^{14}C labeled gas fluxes were measured from the labeled 18 mesocosms (9 isolated and 9 not isolated from peat) according to the following procedure: Plexiglas chambers were installed as described

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



above but left for longer period as compared to unlabeled flux measurements for accumulation of the lowest measurable amount of $^{14}\text{C-CH}_4$. Depending on plant species, type of microforms and day of the experiment the accumulation time varied between 60 and 180 min. Additionally, a larger volume of air sample ($1000\text{--}2500\text{ cm}^{-3}$) needed to be taken by the replacement of the air in a chamber into a foil air balloon (air tight, no pressure required for filling) with a membrane pump. Sampling of $^{14}\text{C-CO}_2$ and $^{14}\text{C-CH}_4$ was performed simultaneously on the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 6th, 10th, 11th, 14th and 16th day of the experiment separately for two vascular plant mesocosms (see above).

To measure ^{14}C activity in CH_4 , an oxidation line was constructed which converted CH_4 to CO_2 (after King et al., 2002 and personal communication). The combustion device for C/N analysis in solid samples (HT 1300 for the analyzer multi N/C® 2100, Analytik Jena, Germany) was taken as a basis and adjusted for the purpose by installation of external equipment. Four NaOH traps were connected sequentially by the system of tubings with a combustion chamber of the device and a membrane pump in a way that two of the traps were placed before and two after the combustion chamber. An air sample sucked by the pump entered the first 2 traps where CO_2 was fixed and then went to the combustion chamber where CH_4 oxidized to CO_2 at $800\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Resulting CO_2 was fixed in the subsequent two NaOH traps. Prior to use, the oxidation line was calibrated for suitable flow rates and tightness with a $>95\%$ of CH_4 recovery as CO_2 . Five ml of 1 M NaOH was used in each of the traps. Additionally, to decrease the interference of CO_2 during oxidation of CH_4 , the CO_2 was trapped in NaOH during the accumulation time under closed chambers. A vial with 5 ml 1 M NaOH was put to each mesocosm (isolated/not isolated) prior to closing a chamber. The fixation of $^{14}\text{C-CO}_2$ directly from mesocosms accounted for 55–99 % of total $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ increasing with the duration of the experiment. The rest 1–45 % of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ was fixed in the first two traps during the procedure of CH_4 oxidation described above. At the end of the experiment – 18 days after the labeling – all labeled mesocosms were destructively harvested and ^{14}C activity was measured in shoots, roots and peat using the same oxidation line but with two NaOH traps. Activity of $^{14}\text{C-CO}_2$ (including oxidized CH_4) fixed in NaOH was

measured in the 1 ml aliquot solution with 2 ml scintillation cocktail (Rotiszint EcoPlus, Carl Roth, Germany) using a 1450 LSC & Luminescence Counter (MicroBeta TriLux, Perkin Elmer Inc., USA). The ^{14}C counting efficiency was at least 70%; the measurement error did not exceed 3.5%. The absolute ^{14}C activity was standardized by adding increasing amounts of NaOH as a quencher (Gocke et al., 2010).

2.4 Calculations and statistics

The CO_2 and CH_4 emission rates from unlabeled isolated and not isolated mesocosms were calculated based on the increase in chamber headspace concentration of the respective gases over time (4 points, see above) (Whalen and Reeburgh, 1988) and are presented as $\text{mg m}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$. Estimation of the labelled $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ fluxes were performed by extrapolation of the endpoint concentration over the period of gases accumulation under chamber headspace assuming linearity of concentration increase (Forbrich et al., 2010). Fluxes of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ are shown as $\text{kBq m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$. To calculate the total amount of label emitted with CO_2 and CH_4 during the experiment, fluxes of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ were linearly interpolated and integrated over time using the trapezoidal rule (King and Reeburgh, 2002; King et al., 2002). This value along with the activity of vascular plants' roots and shoots, as well as peat (including moss in the top layer) was used in the estimation of ^{14}C label recovery and presented as percentage (%) from the initial activity. Activity of water samples in mesocosms measured after the finishing of the experiment was close to the background (~ 50 dpm) and thus not presented. Plant-mediated CH_4 transport (isolated mesocosms treatment) is shown as % from total (not isolated mesocosms treatment) CH_4 flux of the respective plant species at the respective microforms. Vascular plants' total dry weight was measured at the end of the experiment. This value was used for estimation of the specific flux of plant-mediated CH_4 ($\text{mg g dry weight}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$).

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The determination of statistically significant differences of dry weights of mesocosms (shoots, roots, peat) and plant-mediated CH₄ fluxes between species and microsites were performed via multi-variance-ANOVA and Fischer LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$) using STATISTICA 7.0 software (StatSoft, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and plant-mediated CH₄ from *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns*, and *S. hollows*

Flux of CO₂ (F_{CO_2}) from not isolated mesocosms varied from -2 (negative values indicate C assimilation by mesocosms) to 10 mg m⁻² min⁻¹ (Fig. 2a). *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns* showed similar patterns of F_{CO_2} being relatively low (-2 to 2 mg m⁻² min⁻¹) during 13 days of the experiment with subsequent increase at the end of measurements (14–16 days) (Fig. 2a). F_{CO_2} at *S. hollows* was similar to *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns* at 1–5th and 12–13th days, whereas during 6–11th it was higher (up to 6 mg m⁻² min⁻¹) and after 12th day of measurements decreased to 0 mg m⁻² min⁻¹ as compared to *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns* (Fig. 2a). Reference mesocosms (control) with moss showed the effects of the lack of vascular plants onto gas fluxes: F_{CO_2} was on average higher in two control mesocosms as compared to *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns* and *S. hollows* indicating lower C fixation in mosses biomass (Fig. 2a).

In contrast to F_{CO_2} the CH₄ flux (F_{CH_4}) from not isolated mesocosms showed obvious differences (Fig. 2c). Average F_{CH_4} during experiment increased from 0.071 ± 0.005 through 0.113 ± 0.006 to 0.157 ± 0.013 mg m⁻² min⁻¹ at *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns* and *S. hollows*, respectively. As F_{CH_4} was the smallest from control vs. *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns* and *S. hollows*, this supported the importance of vascular plants for CH₄ transport to the atmosphere (Fig. 2c).

Treatment with isolated vascular plants showed similar patterns of F_{CO_2} as compared to the non isolated treatment (Fig. 2b). In turn, F_{CH_4} in the treatment with isolated

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



vascular plants (Fig. 2d) represented the plant-mediated F_{CH_4} , since F_{CH_4} from the peat surface was excluded. *S. hollows* showed the highest plant-mediated F_{CH_4} in absolute values (Fig. 2d) and this corresponded to $50.5 \pm 2.2\%$ from the total (not isolated) F_{CH_4} (Fig. 3, right y-axis). In turn, plant mediated transport accounted at *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns* was responsible for the $37.9 \pm 3.9\%$ and $31.3 \pm 4.6\%$ of the total F_{CH_4} , respectively (Fig. 3, right y-axis). This trend was even more pronounced, when we estimated the specific F_{CH_4} (per g dry weight, Table 1). The specific plant-mediated F_{CH_4} increased in the order *E. hummocks* \leq *E. lawns* $<$ *S. hollows* (Fig. 3, left y-axis).

3.2 Contribution of recent photosynthates to the CO_2 , CH_4 fluxes and ^{14}C budget

Flux of labeled CO_2 ($F_{^{14}\text{C}\text{CO}_2}$) was first detected 4 h after labeling from both isolated and not isolated mesocosms and was most intense ($500\text{--}1400\text{ kBq m}^{-2}\text{ d}^{-1}$) in the first 2 days, regardless of the plant species. $F_{^{14}\text{C}\text{CO}_2}$ dropped down on the 3rd day and remained within a range of $5\text{--}80\text{ kBq m}^{-2}\text{ d}^{-1}$ till the end of the experiment (Fig. 4a and b). In contrast to CO_2 , the ^{14}C activity in the CH_4 flux ($F_{^{14}\text{C}\text{CH}_4}$) was 100 folds lower ($0.1\text{--}4.2\text{ kBq m}^{-2}\text{ d}^{-1}$) (Fig. 4c and d). The earliest appearance of ^{14}C in CH_4 was detected in the *S. hollows* treatment 20 h after labeling. It has to be noted, though, that this period of time might not reflect the actual situation, since the $^{14}\text{C}\text{-CH}_4$ was not measured during first 4 h after the labeling in contrast to CO_2 . After 3 days the intensity of $F_{^{14}\text{C}\text{CH}_4}$ strongly decreased especially in the treatments with isolated plants but the decrease was not that pronounced when compared to $F_{^{14}\text{C}\text{CO}_2}$ (Fig. 4c and d).

During the 18 days of the experiment about 11 % of the total ^{14}C activity was emitted as CO_2 from *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns* each, whereas *S. hollows* emitted 6 % of $^{14}\text{C}\text{-CO}_2$ coinciding with the smallest amount of shoots (Table 1). However, *S. hollows* emitted 2–4 times more $^{14}\text{C}\text{-CH}_4$ when compared to *E. hummocks* and *E. lawns*. The total amount of $^{14}\text{C}\text{-CH}_4$ emission did not exceed 0.03–0.13 % of ^{14}C activity in any

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of the treatment (Table 1). Most of the ^{14}C label was recovered in *S.* roots at hollows (38%), shoots and roots of *E.* hummocks and *E.* lawns contained about 32 % of initial label. ^{14}C activity in peat (average of the whole core) varied from 11 to 29 % from the total activity increasing in the order *E.* hummocks < *E.* lawns < *S.* hollows and was negatively related to vascular plant biomass (higher peat activity corresponded to lower plant biomass (Table 1). Approximately 20 % of the total activity applied to each of the three microforms could not be recovered (Table 1). This proportion might have been incorporated into the DOC pool or other compartments, which were decomposed/utilized and partly lost within continuous CO_2 , CH_4 fluxes between measurements, since only background activity was measured in water from mesocosms after 18 days of the experiment.

4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of plant species at peatland microforms on plant-mediated CH_4 transport

Emission of CO_2 and CH_4 from mesocosms of two plant species attributed to three microform types with typical water table levels under controlled conditions followed the natural pattern observed in situ at the Salmisuo mire complex (Saarnio et al., 1997; Forbrich et al., 2010; Dorodnikov et al., 2011; Gažović et al., 2011). Thus, field measurements showed the increase of F_{CH_4} from the surface of microforms in the order hummocks < lawns \leq hollows (Dorodnikov et al., 2011). This pattern was consistent with results reported earlier for the same site (Saarnio et al., 1997; Forbrich et al., 2010) and for other sites (Dalva et al., 2001; Johansson et al., 2006). Respiration rates (F_{CO_2}) are in agreement with the data presented by Gažović et al. (2011) for the peak of season 2007 with similar weather (temperature and precipitation) conditions at the same site and fall into the range typically observed at other sites (Lindroth et al., 2007; Lund et al., 2007; Sottocornola and Kiely, 2010). However, the rate of CH_4 emission

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Contribution of
recent plant
photosynthates to
methanogenesis**

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in the current laboratory experiment was 1.8–9 folds higher than in situ measurements (Dorodnikov et al., submitted; Saarnio et al., 1997). Similar range of difference (3.5–9) between laboratory F_{CH_4} and field measurements were reported by King et al. (2002; Table 1) and could be attributed to more optimal growth conditions and higher temperatures (especially soil temperatures) under laboratory conditions. This is confirmed by the Q_{10} values for CH_4 production reported in the range from 2 to 20 (Segers, 1998). Furthermore, limited lateral and vertical water movement of porewater in peat columns might have favored methanogenesis and/or decreased CH_4 consumption. Comparable values of F_{CH_4} for the same plant species (*Eriophorum vaginatum*) in laboratory experiments were reported by Ström et al. (2005; Table 1). Although the controlled conditions cannot fully reproduce the natural environment, we believe the observed differences between mesocosms reveal the pattern of processes and their potential for explaining in situ variability between plant species and topographical microforms.

Results of the current experiment demonstrate the efficiency of *S. palustris* vs. *E. vaginatum* in transmission of CH_4 from the anoxic (methanogenic) zone to the atmosphere under conditions encountered in the field: plant-mediated CH_4 transport was 10–20% more intensive from *Scheuchzeria* mesocosms and 4.5 folds larger on the dry weight basis as compared to mesocosms of *Eriophorum* (Fig. 3). Although we did not control the effect of water table level on the individual plant species, we studied the plant species and microforms as entire ecological units as existing in the peatland complex. Because *S. palustris* was a typical plant for water saturated hollow microforms of the peatland and *E. vaginatum* corresponded to drier lawns and hummocks, our finding supports the hypothesis of higher efficiency of vascular plants with tolerance to anoxic soil conditions in CH_4 transmission. However, it is necessary to note, that the lower plant-mediated CH_4 flux of *E. vaginatum* could reflect also higher CH_4 consumption (oxidation) potential of the species against *S. palustris*, although Frenzel and Rudolph (1998) could not identify significant CH_4 oxidation under *E. vaginatum*, despite the highly aerenchymatic root tissues.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Only a few studies have measured plant-mediated F_{CH_4} in peatlands directly by separation of shoots from peat/soil (Schimel, 1995; Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998; Kutzbach et al., 2004). Authors used relatively small chambers (0.5–1 L) fixed around single tillers of *Eriophorum angustifolium* (Schimel, 1995; Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998) and *Carex aquatilis* (Schimel, 1995; Kutzbach et al., 2004). Their estimations of plant-mediated CH_4 transport substantially varied from 30–70 to 150 % (Schimel, 1995; Kutzbach et al., 2004) and up to 3900 % (Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998) of total (reference) flux. Plant-mediated F_{CH_4} assessed by the difference between plots with vascular plants and without them also showed high variability (33–96%, King et al., 1998; 40–80%, Christensen et al., 2003; 25–80%, Koelbener et al., 2010). Although the above mentioned studies did not investigate the same species as in the current experiment, we consider their plant-mediated F_{CH_4} to be generally overestimated (Schimel, 1995 and especially Frenzel and Rudolf, 1998). This is likely because of (i) low representativeness (only some tillers were measured by direct isolation of shoots from soil) and/or (ii) biased or no effects of shoots cutting (Greenup et al., 2000), (iii) weakness of comparison of plots with and without vascular vegetation (minimized inputs of vascular plants (rhizo)deposits, changes of oxidation potentials (King et al., 1998; Koelbener et al., 2010)). Still, because of the uniqueness of individual species for CH_4 turnover (Schimel, 1995; Ström et al., 2005; Koelbener et al., 2010) we cannot extrapolate our result of 30–50 % of plant-mediated CH_4 on other species besides *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris*. However, the attribution of these species to microforms of the peatland with specific environmental conditions for growth (mainly, water saturation) may serve as a predictor and provide important information for the assessment and modeling of meso- and macroscale CH_4 fluxes and, hence, C budgets.

4.2 The fate of recent photosynthates and their contribution to CH_4 production

Pulse labeling of mesocosms allowed tracing the pathways of recent photosynthates of two vascular plant species (and of green moss in the treatment without plant isolation from peat cores) within mesocosms' compartments. After 18 days of the experiment

about 79% and 81% of initial ^{14}C activity was recovered in mesocosms of *E. hummocks*, *E. lawns* (1st labeling) and *S. hollows* (2nd labeling), respectively (Table 1). Distribution of the label between plant compartments of two species revealed the strong difference in physiology of *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris*: recovery of ^{14}C in shoots and roots of *E. vaginatum* was 18 and 14%, respectively, whereas for the *S. palustris* 8% was recovered in shoots and 38% in roots indicating intensive allocation of recent photosynthates of *S. palustris* to roots. Although shoots-to-roots ratios of *S. palustris* were similar to *E. vaginatum* (from lawns, Table 1), the root system of *S. palustris* was phenotypically different: its single tap-roots were much thicker, with more developed aerenchyma and probably deeper (since at the bottom of 25 cm peat core roots were still thick) as compared to dense but thin roots of *E. vaginatum* (Fig. 1). Apparently due to such a strong root system with developed aerenchyma *S. palustris* was responsible for the largest total (Fig. 2c), plant-mediated (Fig. 3) and labeled CH_4 fluxes (Table 1).

Overall, 0.03–0.13% of the initially fixed ^{14}C label was emitted as CH_4 within 18 days of the experiment by mesocosms of two vascular plant species. These results are in agreement with those reported by King and Reeburgh (2002), who found approximately 0.1% of the ^{14}C -label in CH_4 over a 15 days measurements period of mesocosms with *Eriophorum angustifolium* and *Carex aquatilis* under field conditions. However, in the laboratory experiment with similar mesocosms conducted by the same authors (King et al., 2002) the proportion of ^{14}C emitted as CH_4 accounted for 1–5%. Since total CH_4 flux was more intensive under laboratory vs. field conditions (our observations and King et al., 2002), we expected higher $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ efflux in the current experiment. We may have underestimated $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ emission probably due to the experimental setup, which did not allow more frequent $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ measurements (Fig. 4c and d). Thus, we could not test the hypothesized fast (within 2–4 h after labeling) conversion of recently fixed plant photosynthates to CH_4 (after King et al., 2002) but we found that contribution of the plant photosynthates to the methanogenesis did not depend on plant biomass (Fig. 5). This finding contradicts our hypothesis, since we did consider much finer roots of *E. vaginatum* and because of higher biomass we expected its higher rhizodeposition

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

with consequently larger portion of recent photosynthates converted to CH₄. The results of several researchers (Whiting and Chanton, 1993; Dacey et al. 1994; Greenup et al. 2000; Christensen et al., 2003) stated that CH₄ emission rates increase as the net production (or plant biomass) of wetland vegetation increases. Though the authors did not measure belowground plant-derived C pool directly, they assumed the supply of (rhizo)deposits (Whiting and Chanton, 1993) and plant root biomass could best explain CH₄ fluxes (Greenup et al. 2000). Despite the fact that we did not measure vascular plant rhizodeposits on a molecular level, contribution of ¹⁴C to the CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes revealed the differences between plant species. Considering *E. vaginatum* alone, similarly, we did observe the relationship between increasing root biomass and ¹⁴CH₄ flux (Fig. 5, dashed line). However, the comparison of the two species showed weak correlation of root biomass and ¹⁴CH₄ flux, since *S. palustris* with less root- and total biomass (Table 1) was responsible for the largest contribution of labeled plant photosynthates to CH₄ flux (Fig. 5, solid line). These data support results of experiments demonstrating no relationship (Joabsson and Christensen, 2001; King et al., 2002; Ström et al., 2005; Koelbener et al., 2010) or even negative relationship (Bouchard et al., 2007) between CH₄ emission and plant biomass. Thus, the biomass of vascular plants in peatlands cannot alone be a reliable predictor of CH₄ emissions because at least the production (shown by amount of ¹⁴C-label) and transmission (total and labeled fluxes) of CH₄ were higher for a plant species, which biomass was not large (Ström et al., 2005; Koelbener et al., 2010). On the other hand, *E. vaginatum* belongs to microforms with less water saturated conditions (lawns and hummocks) and along with the reported rhizospheric oxidation (Christensen et al., 2003) is likely to increase consumption/oxidation of CH₄ in the upper peat (moss) layer of the respective mesocosms. Almost doubled larger amount of emitted ¹⁴C with CO₂ from mesocosms with *E. vaginatum* as compared to *S. palustris* hollows might have originated both from respiration and oxidation of CH₄ (Table 1). In addition, oxic conditions and oxygen transport into the rhizosphere could regenerate non-methanogenic electron acceptors such as iron or sulphate oxides (Knorr et al., 2008; Sutton-Grier and Megonigal, 2011),

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



which suppress methanogenesis. This process was reported to be species-specific and did not depend on plant biomass/productivity (Sutton-Grier and Megonigal, 2011).

After all, individual compounds of root exudation, such as acetate, supply of oxygen and presence of alternative electron acceptors may be responsible for C flow from vegetation to methanogenesis (Ström et al. 2003; Knorr et al., 2008). Since the former prerequisites are species-specific, a general relation of CH₄ turnover to vegetation biomass may be a too simplistic approach. Therefore, for the assessment of CH₄ turnover over meso- and macroscales as well as for the implication and development of C modeling of CH₄ fluxes, it is necessary to account for plant species-specific processes of CH₄ production, consumption and transportation. The attribution of those species to topographic microforms, which reflect environmental conditions, may provide more reliable proxies for the estimation of a regional (and global) C balance (King et al., 2002; Kutzbach et al., 2004).

5 Conclusions

Our laboratory experiment with isolation of shoots from entire peat and ¹⁴C-pulse labeling of mesocosms of *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris* attributed to three topographic microforms (hummocks, lawns, hollows) demonstrated the importance of certain plant communities for CH₄ turnover in boreal peatlands. Data of total CH₄ and plant-mediated CH₄ fluxes and as well as tracing of ¹⁴C-pulse label in mesocosms' compartments allowed us to conclude:

- F_{CH_4} increased in the order *E. hummocks* ≤ *E. lawns* < *S. hollows* corresponding to the increasing water table level of the microforms as derived from in situ measurements.

BGD

8, 4359–4389, 2011

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Plant-mediated F_{CH_4} accounted for 38, 31 and 50.5 % of total flux at *E.* hummocks, *E.* lawns and *S.* hollows, respectively. These values are among the lowest available in the literature and individual (related to *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris*) for studied species.
- Distribution of the label between plant compartments of the two species revealed the strong difference in physiology of *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris*: recovery of ^{14}C in shoots and roots of *S. palustris* was 8 % and 38 % of the total activity (*E. vaginatum*: 18 and 14 %, respectively), indicating intensive allocation of recent photosynthates of *S. palustris* to roots.
- Recent photosynthates contribute to methanogenesis of about 0.03, 0.06 and 0.13 % by *E.* hummocks, *E.* lawns and *S.* hollows, respectively. Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis was not depended on the amount of plant biomass: smaller *S. palustris* had higher $F_{14\text{CH}_4}$ as compared to larger *E. vaginatum*

Acknowledgements. Authors would like greatly to acknowledge the staff of the Laboratory for Experimental Ecology, Mekrijärvi research station, University of Joensuu, Finland and personally Prof. Taneli Kolström, Matti Lemettinen, Teijo Kortevaara, Eine Ihanus, Risto Ikonen for providing the necessary conditions for work and accommodation. Special thanks to Ilka Beil and Nadine Nusko for inestimable help in transportating the samples over Finland and Germany. The study was supported by the DFG Emmy Noether Programm (Wi 2680/2-1) and a Sofja Kovalevskaja Award (M. Wilmking) of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

- ACIA author consortium: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment – Scientific Report, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- Becker, T., Kutzbach, L., Forbrich, I., Schneider, J., Jager, D., Thees, B., and Wilmking, M.: Do we miss the hot spots? – The use of very high resolution aerial photographs to quantify

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

carbon fluxes in peatlands, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1387–1393, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1387-2008, 2008.

Bouchard, V., Frey, S. D., Gilbert, J. M., and Reed, S. E.: Effects of macrophyte functional group richness on emergent freshwater wetland functions, *Ecology*, 88, 2903–2914, 2007.

Chanton, J. P. and Dacey, J. W. H.: Effects of vegetation on methane flux, reservoirs, and carbon isotopic composition, edited by: Sharkey, T. D., Holland, E. A., and Mooney, H. A.: *Trace Gas Emissions by Plants*, Academic Press, San Diego, 65–92, 1991.

Chanton, J. P., Bauer, J. E., Glaser, P. A., Siegel, D. I., Kelley, C. A., Tyler, S. C., Romanowicz, E. H., and Lazrus, A.: Radiocarbon evidence for the substrates supporting methane formation within northern Minnesota peatlands, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 59, 3663–3668, 1995.

Christensen, T. R., Panikov, N., Mastepanov, M., Joabsson, A., Stewart, A., Oquist, M., Sommerkorn, M., Reynaud, S., and Svensson, B.: Biotic controls on CO₂ and CH₄ exchange in wetlands – a closed environment study, *Biogeochemistry*, 64, 337–354, 2003.

Dacey, J. W. H., Drake, B. G., and Klug, M. J.: Stimulation of methane emission by carbon-dioxide enrichment of marsh vegetation, *Nature*, 370, 47–49, 1994.

Dannenberg, S. and Conrad, R.: Effect of rice plants on methane production and rhizospheric metabolism in paddy soil, *Biogeochem.*, 45, 53–71, 1999.

Dalva, M., Moore, T. R., Arp, P., and Clair, T. A.: Methane and soil and plant community respiration from wetlands, Kejimikujik National Park, Nova Scotia: Measurements, predictions, and climatic change, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(D3), 2955–2962, 2001.

Das, K. and Baruah, K. K.: Methane emission associated with anatomical and morphophysiological characteristics of rice (*Oryza sativa*) plant, *Physiol. Plantarum*, 134, 303–312, 2008.

Dorodnikov, M., Repo, M., Biasi, C., and Wilmking, M.: Isotopic evidence of the pathways of methane from porewater to atmosphere in a boreal peatland: effect of microtopography on methanogenesis, transport and oxidation, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, under revision., 2011.

Forbrich, I., Kutzbach, L., Hormann, A., and Wilmking, M.: A comparison of linear and exponential regression for estimating diffusive CH₄ fluxes by closed-chambers in peatlands, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 42(3), 507–515, 2010.

Frenzel, P. and Rudolph, J.: Methane emission from a wetland plant: the role of CH₄ oxidation in *Eriophorum*, *Plant Soil*, 202, 27–32, 1998.

Gavrishkova, O. and Kuzyakov, Y.: Respiration costs associated with nitrate reduction as estimated by ¹⁴CO₂ pulse labeling of corn at various growth stages, *Plant Soil*, 329, 433–445, 2010.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Gažovic, M., Jager, D., Forbrich, I., Kutzbach, L., Wille, C., and Wimking, M.: Effects of water table on soil respiration have the decisive influence on carbon balance of a boreal peatland: When dry and wet matters? *Ecology*, submitted., 2011.

Gocke, M., Pustovoytov, K., and Kuzyakov, Y.: Effect of CO₂ concentration on the initial recrystallization rate of pedogenic carbonate – Revealed by ¹⁴C and ¹³C labeling, *Geoderma*, 155, 351–358, 2010.

Greenup, A. L., Bradford, M. A., McNamara, N. P., Ineson, P., and Lee, J. A.: The role of *Eriophorum vaginatum* in CH₄ flux from an ombrotrophic peatland, *Plant Soil*, 227, 265–272, 2000.

Hornibrook, E. R. C., Longstaffe, F. J., and Fyfe, W. S.: Spatial distribution of microbial methane production pathways in temperate zone wetland soils: Stable carbon and hydrogen isotope evidence. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 61, 745–753, 1997.

IPCC: Climate change 2001, 3rd assessment report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland, 2001.

IPCC: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), Geneva, Switzerland, 104 pp., 2007.

Jager, D. F., Wilmking, M., and Kukkonen, J. V. K.: The influence of summer seasonal extremes on dissolved organic carbon export from a boreal peatland catchment: Evidence from one dry and one wet growing season, *Sci. Tot. Environ.*, 407, 1373–1382, 2009.

Jia, Z. J., Cai, Z. C., Xu, H., and Li, H.P.: Effect of rice plants on CH₄ production, transport, oxidation and emission in rice paddy soil, *Plant Soil*, 230, 211–221, 2001.

Joabsson, A. and Christensen, T. R.: Methane emissions from wetlands and their relationship with vascular plants: an Arctic example, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 7, 919–932, 2001.

Johansson, T., Malmer, N., Crill, P. M., Friberg, T., Akerman, J. H., Mastepanov, M., and Christensen, T. R.: Decadal vegetation changes in a northern peatland, greenhouse gas fluxes and net radiative forcing. *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 2352–2369, 2006.

Koelbener, A., Ström, L., Edwards, P. J., and Venterink, H. O.: Plant species from mesotrophic wetlands cause relatively high methane emissions from peat soil, *Plant Soil*, 326, 147–158, 2010.

King, J. Y. and Reeburgh, W. S.: A pulse-labeling experiment to determine the contribution of recent plant photosynthates to net methane emission in arctic wet sedge tundra, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 34, 173–180, 2002.

King, J. Y., Reeburgh, W. S., and Regli, S. R.: Methane emission and transport by arctic sedges

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in Alaska: Results of a vegetation removal experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 29083–29092, 1998.

King, J. Y., Reeburgh, W. S., Thieler, K. K., Kling, G. W., Loya, W. M., Johnson, L. C., and Nadelhoffer, K. J.: Pulse-labeling studies of carbon cycling in Arctic tundra ecosystems: The contribution of photosynthates to methane emission, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, 16, 1062, 2002.

Knorr, K.-H., Glaser, B., and Blodau, C.: Fluxes and ^{13}C isotopic composition of dissolved carbon and pathways of methanogenesis in a fen soil exposed to experimental drought, *Biogeosciences*, 5, 1457–1473, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1457-2008, 2008.

Kutzbach, L., Wagner, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Effect of microrelief and vegetation on methane emission from wet polygonal tundra, Lena Delta, Northern Siberia, *Biogeochemistry*, 69, 341–362, 2004.

Kuzyakov, Y. and Gavrichkova, O.: Time lag between photosynthesis and carbon dioxide efflux from soil: a review of mechanisms and controls, *Global Change Biol.*, 16, 3386–3406, 2010.

Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Sapronov, D., and Eckhardt, K.-U.: Qualitative assessment of root exudates in non-sterilized soil by analytical pyrolysis, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc.*, 166, 719–723, 2003.

Kuzyakov, Y., Shevtzova, E., and Pustovoytov, K.: Carbonate recrystallization in soil revealed by ^{14}C labeling: experiment, model and significance for paleo-environmental reconstructions, *Geoderma*, 131, 45–58, 2006.

Lai, D. Y. F.: Methane dynamics in northern peatlands: A review, *Pedosphere*, 19(4), 409–421, 2009.

Lindroth, A., Lund, M., Nilsson, M., Aurela, M., Christensen, T. R., Laurila, T., Rinne, J., Riutta, T., Sagerfors, J., Strom, L., Tuovinen, J. P., and Vesala, T.: Environmental controls on the CO_2 exchange in north European mires, *Tellus*, 59B, 812–825, 2007.

Lund, M., Lindroth, A., Christensen, T. R., and Strom, L.: Annual CO_2 balance of a temperate bog, *Tellus*, 59B, 804–811, 2007.

Megonigal, J. P., Whalen, S. C., Tissue, D. T., Bovard, B. D., Albert, D. B., and Allen, A. S.: A plant-soil-atmosphere microcosm for tracing radiocarbon from photosynthesis through methanogenesis, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 63, 665–671, 1999.

Minoda, T., Kimura, M., and Wada, E.: Photosynthates as dominant source of CH_4 and CO_2 in soil water and CH_4 emitted to the atmosphere from paddy fields, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 21091–21097, 1996.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Saarnio, S., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Lohila, A., Nykanen, H., and Martikainen, P. J.: Seasonal variation in CH₄ emissions and production and oxidation potentials at microsites on an oligotrophic pine fen, *Oecologia*, 110, 414–422, 1997.

Schimmel, J. P.: Plant-transport and methane production as controls on methane flux from Arctic wet meadow tundra, *Biogeochemistry*, 28, 183–200, 1995.

Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: A review of processes underlying wetland methane fluxes, *Biogeochem.*, 41(1), 23–51, 1998.

Shannon, R. D., White, J. R., Lawson, J. E., and Gilmore, B. S.: Methane efflux from emergent vegetation in peatlands, *J. Ecol.*, 84, 239–246, 1996.

Sottocornola, M. and Kiely, G.: Hydro-meteorological controls on the CO₂ exchange variation in an Irish blanket bog, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 150, 287–297, 2010.

Strack, M., Waller, M. F., and Waddington, J. M.: Sedge succession and peatland methane dynamics: a potential feedback to climate change, *Ecosystems*, 9, 278–287, 2006.

Ström, L., Ekberg, A., Mastepanov, M., and Christensen, T. R.: The effect of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from a tundra wetland, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 1185–1192, 2003.

Ström, L., Mastepanov, M., and Christensen, T. R.: Species-specific effects of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from wetlands, *Biogeochemistry*, 75, 65–82, 2005.

Sutton-Grier, A. E. and Megonigal, J. P.: Plant species traits regulate methane production in freshwater wetland soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 413–420, 2011.

Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K., and Reinikainen, A.: Estimating carbon accumulation rates of undrained mires in Finland – application to boreal and subarctic regions, *Holocene*, 12(1), 69–80, 2002.

Watson, A., Stephen, K. D., Nedwell, D. B., and Arah, J. R. M.: Oxidation of methane in peat: kinetics of CH₄ and O₂ removal and the role of plant roots, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 29, 1257–1267, 1997.

Whalen, S. C. and Reeburgh, W. S.: A methane flux time series for tundra environments, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 2, 399–409, 1988.

Whiting, G. J. and Chanton, J. P.: Primary production control of methane emission from wetlands, *Nature*, 364, 794–795, 1993.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Table 1. Dry weight (\pm SE, $n = 12$) and the recovery of ^{14}C label in compartments of mesocosms of *Eriophorum vaginatum* (*E. vaginatum* from 2 hummocks and lawns) and *Scheuchzeria palustris* (*S. palustris* from hollows) after 18 days of the experiment.

Microform type	Vegetation type	Dry weight, g^{-1}			Recovery of ^{14}C in mesocosms, % from ^{14}C input					
		shoots	roots	peat	shoots	roots	peat	CO_2^*	CH_4^*	unidentified
hummock	<i>E. vaginatum</i>	$13.6 \pm 6.5^{\text{Ab}}$	$144.9 \pm 4.0^{\text{Cc}}$	$45.6 \pm 1.8^{\text{Ba}}$	12.7	11.8	11.2	10.9	0.06	21.4**
lawn	<i>E. vaginatum</i>	$5.2 \pm 1.2^{\text{Ab}}$	$116.3 \pm 10.8^{\text{Cb}}$	$38.6 \pm 6.3^{\text{Ba}}$	5.2	2.1	13.6	11.0	0.03	
hollow	<i>S. palustris</i>	$1.8 \pm 0.2^{\text{Aa}}$	$58.2 \pm 3.7^{\text{Ba}}$	$71.8 \pm 6.6^{\text{Cb}}$	8.2	37.9	28.6	5.9	0.13	19.2***

* Calculated by linear interpolation of $^{14}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{14}\text{CH}_4$ fluxes and integration over time using the trapezoidal rule.

** Calculated as a difference between initial input and the sum of all measured ^{14}C compartments of *E. vaginatum* from hummocks and lawns (1st labeling).

*** Calculated as a difference between initial input and the sum of all measured ^{14}C compartments of *S. palustris* from hollows (2nd labeling).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 1. Isolation of shoots from roots and entire peat cores in mesocosms of *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris* sampled at hummocks, lawns and hollows of a boreal natural minerogenic, oligotrophic fen Salmisuo in Eastern Finland. Microforms differed by water table level (12–5–0 cm below surface for hummocks, lawns and hollows, respectively) maintained in the laboratory experiment. 1 – chambers used for gases flux measurements, 2 – holes in lids with passed through tillers sealed with silicone; 3 – lid with holes used for isolation of shoots from peat cores; 4 – green moss (*Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum papillosum*); 5 – water table level; 6 – peat with roots.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.




Fig. 2. Fluxes of carbon dioxide (F_{CO_2} ; **A, B**) and methane (F_{CH_4} ; **C, D**) from not isolated (**A, C**) and isolated (**B, D**) mesocosms of *E. vaginatum* from hummocks and lawns, *S. palustris* from hollows and referenced cores without vascular plants during 18 days of the experiment. Errors are standard errors of measurement ($n = 3$).

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 3. Plant-mediated CH_4 flux (shown as % from total F_{CH_4} , left y axis) and specific plant-mediated CH_4 flux per unit of biomass (in $\text{mg g dry weight}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$, right y axis) estimated for *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris* of three microform types as an average during the period of measurements. Errors are standard errors of measurement ($n = 3$). Values followed by the same letters are not significantly different between microform types and plant species (uppercase letters for the left y axis, lowercase letters for the right y axis) at $p \leq 0.05$ according to two-way-ANOVA and Fischer LSD test.

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.




Fig. 4. Fluxes of labeled carbon dioxide ($F_{14} \text{CO}_2$; **A, B**) and labeled methane ($F_{14} \text{CH}_4$; **C, D**) from not isolated (**A, C**) and isolated (**B, D**) mesocosms of *E. vaginatum* from hummocks and lawns and *S. palustris* from hollows during 18 days of the experiment. Errors are standard errors of measurement ($n = 3$).

Contribution of recent plant photosynthates to methanogenesis

M. Dorodnikov et al.




Fig. 5. Total amount of labeled ¹⁴CH₄ emitted during the period of measurements vs. root biomass of vascular plants from isolated and not isolated mesocosms with *E. vaginatum* and *S. palustris* of three microform types. Solid line is a linear regression of all data presented; dashed line is a linear regression of isolated and not isolated *E. vaginatum* from hummocks and lawns alone. Errors are standard errors of measurement ($n = 3$).

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

